UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	
	PLICATION AND EDER OF EXCLUDABLE DELAY
Awais Chudhary	se No. 19-mj-77P
The United States of America and the defendant hereby jo	omtly request that the time period from
1/31/20 to $2/28/20$ be excluded from the computation of the time period within	
which (V) an information or indictment must be filed, or (X) trial of the charges against defendant must comm	
The parties seek the exclusion of the foregoing period because	함께 되면는 화면도 하다고 하다.
they are engaged in plea negotiations, which they believe are likely to result in a disposition of this case without trial, and they require an exclusion of time in order to focus efforts on plea negotiations without the risk that they would not, despite their diligence, have reasonable time for effective preparation for trial.	
() they need additional time to prepare for trial due	to the complexity of case, 15 17 lisposition factor,
The defendant states that he/she has been fully advised by Sixth Amendment to the Constitution; the Speedy Trial Act of 1974 this Court adopted pursuant to that Act; and Rule 50(b) of the Fede understands that he/she has a right to be tried before a jury within a light to be tried before a light to be tried before a jury within a light to be tried before a light	4, 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161-74; the plan and rules of rall Rules of Criminal Procedure. The defendant
Defendant For	U.S. Attomey, E.D.N.Y.
Counsel for Defendant The joint application of the United States of America and	the definition having been being at a proposeding
on the date below, the time period from $\frac{1/31/20}{2}$ is hereby excluded in computing the time within which ($\sqrt{2}$ an information)	mation or indictment must be filed or () trial
must commence. The Court finds that this exclusion of time serves the public and the defendant in a speedy trial for the reasons discus	the ends of justice and outweigh the interests of
() given the reasonable likelihood that ongoing plea ne without trial, the exclusion of time will allow all counsel to focus that they would be denied the reasonable time necessary for effective exercise of due diligence.	eir efforts on plea negotiations without the risk re preparation for trial, taking into account the
(V) Gove to review defindent SO ORDERED. Defindant warred	I a primiray heaving
Dated: Bracklyn, N.Y.	
Jr - 30 2000	/s/ Hon. Kiyo A. Matsumoto
	United States Who Judge